

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMM SSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO-BON 413
Alexandra Virginia 22313-1450
www.usqio.gov

			www.usstlogsov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,354	07/23/2003	Takashi Akiyama	3169.68213	8600
75	90 08/11/2006		EXAMINER	
Patrick G. Burns, Esq. GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.			MIZRAHI, DIANE D	
Suite 2500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
300 South Wacker Dr. Chicago, IL 60606			2165	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/625,354	AKIYAMA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	DIANE D. MIZRAHI	2165					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ju	ne 2006.						
<u> </u>	action is non-final.						
,—	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,8 and 9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 8</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are rejected.							
. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	, <u> </u>						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 June 2006</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>.        </u> . d in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/625,354 Page 2

Art Unit: 2165

#### III. DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-4, and 8-9 are presented for examination. Claims 5-7 are canceled.

In response to communications filed on June 21, 2006,
Claims 1-4, and 8-9 are pending in the application.

Applicant's arguments have been reconsidered but are not deemed persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

### Drawings

The Examiner contends that the drawings submitted on January 21, 2006 are objected to because they contain typographical errors, i.e. See Figure 3, #2 "MONITORIGN UNIT".

### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

a new title is required because

--INFORMATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM, INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

METHOD AND STORAGE MEDIUM-- does not describe Applicant's invention

Appropriate correction is required.

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. Ergo, objection cited on the office action dated January 18, 2006 remains.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,354

Art Unit: 2165

## Response to Applicant's Remarks

Page 3

Examiner has completed a through review and study of Applicant's amendment of June 21, 2006. Examiner acknowledges that Claims 1-4, and 8-9 remain in the application and that Claims 1, 8 and 9 are the independent claims.

Regarding the Claim Rejections of 35 U.S.C. 101, Examiner withdraws the rejection for claims 1-3, and 8 but maintains the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection for Claim 9. (See office action dated January 18, 2006 incorporated wherein see below):

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims are directed to a non-statutory subject matter, specifically, the claims are not directed towards the final result that is "useful, tangible and concrete.

(See State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373-74 USPQ2d at 1601-02).

According to the New Guidelines of October 26, 2005, which states that "A claim limited to a machine or manufacture, which

Art Unit: 2165

has a practical application, is statutory. In most cases a claim to a specific machine or manufacture will have a practical application. See Alappat, 33 F.3d at 1544, 31 USPQ2d at 1557)... a specific machine to produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result and State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373-74 USPQ2d at 1601-02).

(Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility <a href="http://rs6.net/tn.jsp?t=mdmd7pbab.0.kbg76pbab.p9qiiibab.7440&p=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.uspto.gov%2Fweb%2Foffices%2Fpac%2Fdapp%2Fopla%2Fpreognotice%2Fguidelines101\_20051026.pdf">http%3A%2F%2Fwww.uspto.gov%2Fweb%2Foffices%2Fpac%2Fdapp%2Fopla%2Fpreognotice%2Fguidelines101\_20051026.pdf</a>)

The Supreme Court has repeatedly held that abstractions are not patentable. "An idea of itself is not patentable". Rubber-Tip Pencil Co. V. Howard, 20 Wall. 498, 07. Phenomena of nature, though just discovered, mental processes, abstract intellectual concepts are not patentable, as they are the basic tools of scientific and technological work Gottschalk v. Benson, 175 USPQ 673, 675 (S Ct 1972). It is a common place that laws of nature, physical phenomena, and abstract ideas are not patentable subject matter Parker v. Flook, 197 USPQ 193, 201 (S Ct 1978). A process that consists solely of the manipulation of a data structure is not concrete or tangible. See In re Warmerdam, 33 F.3d 1354, 1360, 31 USPQ2d 1754, 1759 (Fed. Cir. 1994). See also Schrader, 22 F.3d at 295, 30 USPQ2d at 1459.

Page 5

Examiner's Remarks:

Regarding Claim 9, Applicant's claimed, "storage medium stored program for making a computer execute a management of an execution record of information" is not statutory per se because the claimed, "storage medium stored program for making a computer execute a management of an execution record of information" is lacking storage on a computer readable medium, such as "computer-readable storage medium". Also, the instructions are not in executable form. Therefore, Examiner believes that the above listed claims are nonstatutory.

Therefore, Examiner maintains the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101, January 18, 2006.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-4 and 8 are allowed over the prior art made of record.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened

Art Unit: 2165

statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diane D. Mizrahi whose telephone number is 571-272-4079. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on (571) 272-4146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 305-3900 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Diane Mizrahi Primary Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100

August 9, 2006